

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,160	05/23/2007	Kazutomi Oka	I-245	1790
PATENTTM.I	7590 05/11/200 IS	9	EXAM	UNER
P. O. BOX 827	88	TAKEUCHI, YOSHITOSHI		
PORTLAND,	OR 97282-0788		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598,160 OKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

cameu	barent femi	adjustinent.	366.31	CFK	1.704(0).

		YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. HONORIS STORY IS A CONTROL OF THE MAILING D. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply a specified above, the maximum statisticity period very specified above, the maximum statisticity period very period for reply specified above, the maximum statisticity period very period for reply specified above, the read replacement of the specified above the read a control of the specified above the replacement of the specified above the replacement of the specified above the specified above the replacement of the specified above the replacement of the specified above the specified a	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	<u>ugust 2006</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) Pager No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other	uton Application			

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclessure Statement(s) (PTO/SEACE) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/598,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to an induction heating method for induction heating a helix shaped coiled member.

Group II, claim(s) 14-22, drawn to an induction heating apparatus.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is the step of) placing an induction heating coil to surround helically a delivery path for delivering the coiled member.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an
 election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed
 (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1793

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

No phone call was made requesting a telephone restriction due to the 371 status of this application. Application/Control Number: 10/598,160

Art Unit: 1793

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI whose telephone number is (571)
 270-5828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793